

Package Document

Funding

Federal Funding? Yes Federal Oversight? No [Federal Oversight Agreement \(June 2007\)](#)

Type

Is this project being documented as an emergency project? Yes No

Is there a formal Emergency Declaration by either the President of the United States or the Governor of PA? Yes No

In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117(c), actions that qualify as an emergency repair under 23 USC 125 can be documented as a Level 1a CE under item #9.

Which type of repair does this project involve? Emergency Permanent

For emergency (not permanent) repairs, use the [Add Appendix](#) button to attach the DIR (Damage Inspection Report), if available.

Phase: Evaluation

Add checkboxes below phase for "Reevaluation Reasoning". Only require for reevaluations, and push to BRPA Matrix as shown below. CQ 34

To document a BRPA...
--- generate package and complete Applicability Matrix
--- submit package to EM for concurrence of applicability
--- approval for this programmatic agreement is effective April 2010

Classification: CE Bridge and Roadway Programmatic Agreement (BRPA)

CE Level:

CE Action:

The preferred process for creating a Level 1b/2 Evaluation is to use the Create Eval button.
- If an approved Scoping Package does not exist in the Expert System, provide r...
- If waived, provide direction/authority information. For paper copies, include fil...

Reason: Waived Paper Copy Other

Explanation:

Change Tracking Dates for EERs to:
----Scoping Field View
----Notice of Availability Start
----Public Hearing (if required)
----Notice of Availability End
----Act 120 / Section 2002 Approval
CQ 4

age.

Projects

PennDOT Project Manager: Melanie S Percha

Bridge and Roadway Programmatic Agreement (BRPA)

Applicability Matrix

for Bridge, Roadway and Non-Complex Projects

Scoping Evaluation Reevaluation

Push "Reevaluation Reasoning" from Package Document here. Only provide for reevaluations.

Project Information			
MPMS 63226	BMS 10-2008-0020-2154	BRKEY 8029	SR/Sec 2008 / 000
County Butler	Municipality Winfield Township	Seg/Offset Start	Seg/Offset End 20/2600
Project Mushroom Farm Road			
Project Description			
Description of Activity	SR 2008 over Long Run, Winfield Township, Bridge rehabilitation; deck replacement		
Identify activity from Stipulation 1 of Part A and/or Stipulations 1 and/or 2 of Part B and/or Stipulation 1 of Part C of the PA (ex. Act B2-4 = Part B, Stipulation 2, Activity 4). If the proposed activity is not included in Stipulation 1 of Part A, or Stipulation 1 or 2 of Part B, or Stipulation 1 of Part C, the PA is not applicable. Identify multiple activities, if appropriate.			
Act B1-2, Act B1-6			
Is project fully funded on TIP or LRTP through construction? <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No			
Are Temporary Easements required? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No			
Will there be any permanent Right-Of-Way acquisition? <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No			
Resource Analysis			
Answer Yes or No to indicate whether each resource is present or absent. If Yes, briefly discuss potential impacts and related commitments to minimize or mitigate. Attach additional documentation as required to document project impacts and any mitigative measures.			
1. Potential for impacts to Wild or Stocked Trout Streams?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No See attached PFBC correspondence.		
2. Potential for impacts to High Quality/EV Streams?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No Long run is a tributary to Buffalo Creek which is Chapter 93 designated HQ-TSF, however due to the nature of this project no stream impacts are anticipated.		
3. Potential for impacts to Wetlands?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No		
4. Potential for impacts to Federally proposed, candidate or listed; or State listed Threatened and Endangered Species?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No See attached PNDI search receipt and agency correspondence.		
5. Potential for impacts to Agricultural Resources?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No		
6. Potential for effects to Historic Properties or Archaeological Resources? If yes, identify effect and whether a standard treatment is included in the design. If no, provide	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No Exempt Project Activity(s): A.4 Individual Making Exemption: Eric R. Buchan Date of Exemption: 05/13/10		

Make County field multi-value and prefill based on if more than one county is named for the lead MPMS. CQ 138

Add N/A checkbox that applies to both BMS and BRKEY. CQ 139

Add selectable field for TIP/STIP/LRTP Approval Date. Require when answer to prior question is Yes. Add orange note for where to find in MPMS. CQ 153

Add question under Date of Exemption that reads "Exemption Comments". Do not require this to be completed in verify. Push to Excel spreadsheet and Preservation PA reports. Cap at 250 characters. CQ N/A

exemption activity(ies) from the Section 106 Programmatic Agreement.		
7. Potential for Public Controversy on Environmental Grounds?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	
8. Potential temporary or permanent impacts (use) to resources protected under Section 4(f)/Section 2002? If an Individual Section 4(f)/Section 2002 Evaluation is required (excluding de minimis), this PA does not apply.	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	
9. Potential for temporary or permanent impacts to Water Trail?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	
10. Potential temporary or permanent impacts to Hazardous/Residual Waste Site?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	
11. Potential impact to regulated Floodplain within or beyond the project limits? If there is a significant floodplain encroachment which requires a Floodplain Finding, the PA does not apply.	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	
12. Potential for impacts to Navigable Watercourses which requires U.S. Coast Guard coordination or to a Waterway which requires an Aids to Navigation Plan?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<div style="border: 1px solid black; background-color: yellow; padding: 5px;"> Add row #14 titled "Mitigation or other commitments included?" with yes and no radios, and require remarks if yes. CQ 136 </div>
13. DEP/USACE Permit required?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No DEP E32-9999	
The projects identified on this form are in full compliance with the Bridge and Roadway Programmatic Agreement dated April 2010, and found not to have significant social, economic or environmental impacts, and therefore qualify as a CE under 23 CFR 771.117(a) and (b).		
Prepared By:	Eric R Buchan / Assistant Environmental Manager	05/13/10
	Name / Title	Date
Reviewed for Applicability By:	Tom H Baltz / Environmental Manager	05/21/10
	Name / Title	Date

Additional Information

Remarks, Footnotes, Supplemental Data

Attachments

1. [2008-250_USGS Location Map.pdf](#) (388KB / 0.4MB)
2. [2008-250_PNDI.pdf](#) (629KB / 0.6MB)
3. [2008-250_PFBC Response.pdf](#) (170KB / 0.2MB)
4. [2008-250_USFWS Response.pdf](#) (155KB / 0.2MB)
5. [2008-250_Stream Restriction Inquiry.pdf](#) (106KB / 0.1MB)